

UPDATES

for Committee Meeting to be held on 11 September 2013

ZONE 1 - WESTERN WARDS

- (7) **P/13/0591/FP** **TITCHFIELD COMMON**
114 LOCKS HEATH PARK ROAD LOCKS HEATH SO31 6LZ

Comments have been received from the Director of Regulatory & Democratic Services (Contaminated Land Officer) raising no objection to the application and recommending that permission could be granted without any conditions relating to land contamination.

- (10) **P/13/0652/FP** **WARSASH**
11 KAYAK CLOSE WARSASH SO31 9AW

This application has been amended by plans received on 28th August 2012 and amplified by emails dated 27th August 2013, the plans showing a corrected application boundary and the emails responding to the neighbour comments received on this application,

- (11) **P/13/0683/FP** **SARISBURY**
34 LIPIZZANER FIELDS WHITELEY FAREHAM HANTS PO15 7BH

Application description amended to delete erection of detached garage. Amended plans received to remove garage.

- (12) **P/13/0686/FP** **WARSASH**
239 WARSASH ROAD LOCKS HEATH SOUTHAMPTON SO31 9NY

An amended plan has been received to relocate a first floor side window to the rear of the dwelling.

- (14) **P/13/0717/RM** **TITCHFIELD COMMON**
18 LOCKS HEATH PARK ROAD LOCKS HEATH SOUTHAMPTON SO31 6NB

One further letter has been received raising the following additional objections;

- Contractors vehicles park on the road causing obstruction
- Additional vehicles of residents will worsen parking issues, noise, fumes and danger
- Setting a precedent for further in-fill development
- Overlooking
- There are bats inhabiting the old garage

The principle of redevelopment of the site has been established previously under the outline

permission and planning permission is not required to demolish the garage. In light of the comment made by the neighbour relating to bats inhabiting the garage, the applicant has commissioned an ecological survey. The survey has been submitted and concludes:

'It is considered that the site is of insignificant ecological value with negligible potential for roosting bats and a lack of features suitable for other protected species'.

ZONE 2 - FAREHAM

(18) **P/13/0532/FP** **FAREHAM EAST**
PALMERSTON AVENUE - LAND TO SOUTH OF FAREHAM HANTS

Satisfactory amended plans received on 5 September 2013 addressing the overlooking issue mentioned in the committee report.

(19) **P/13/0688/FP** **FAREHAM WEST**
28 LANGSTONE WALK FAREHAM PO14 3AB

The current application has reduced the rear garden area by 5.69m² from the previously refused application which was dismissed at appeal.

Ten further letters of representation have been received objecting on the following grounds

- Insufficient parking
 - Detrimental to the visual symmetry of the existing street scene
 - Over-development
 - Leaves little outdoor amenity space
 - Current tenants would lose their side entrance
 - Sewage concerns
 - Adverse effect on the character of the area
 - Potential hazard by obstructing visibility on a right angled bend
 - Out of keeping
 - Potential traffic
 - Burden on the existing infrastructure
 - Disruption that will be created in relation to building machinery and materials that will be required
 - Noise and disruption will inevitably impact on all residents
 - The development will be of no benefit to current residents in the area
-

(20) **P/13/0692/FP** **FAREHAM NORTH**
77 GUDGE HEATH LANE FAREHAM HANTS PO15 5AX

An amended plan has been received altering the roof to the porch link.

(21) **P/13/0696/VC** **FAREHAM EAST**
10 DELME DRIVE WALLINGTON FAREHAM HAMPSHIRE PO16 8SQ

ZONE 3 - EASTERN WARDS

(28) **P/13/0655/AD** **PORTCHESTER WEST**
CAMS MILL PUBLIC HOUSE CAMS HILL FAREHAM PO16 8UP

For clarification the following point within the representation should be deleted:-

Any lighting should be at the rear facing the creek.

(29) **P/13/0702/AD** **PORTCHESTER WEST**
CAMS MILL PUBLIC HOUSE CAMS HILL FAREHAM PO16 8UP

Two further letters of representation have been received objecting on the following grounds:-

- The signage should be more in-tune with the locality of Cams and the sheet volume
- The sheet size and expanse of those advertisement had no place in such an noteworthy environment

The Chairman of the Portchester Civic Society (Hazel Woodman) has commented:-

These temporary signs are completely out of keeping with the park and conservation area they are sited in. The Recruitment sign is now defunct as the date will have been passed by the time of approval. We believe that only one sign advertising the opening in November should be allowed, in a much smaller size and on a lighter background, away from the gate houses to cause less distraction to motorists at this junction.

This is just another example of the developer trying to ride roughshod over the planning laws
